UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/602,808	06/24/2003	Melvin A. Lace	0002-0002	1090
75	90 11/28/2006		EXAM	INER
Romi N. Bose			PENDLETON, BRIAN T	
1157 Banyon Ct. Naperville, IL 60540			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/602,808	LACE, MELVIN A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian T. Pendleton	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESULTING STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESULTING STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESULTING STATES AND STATES AS A SHORTEN STATES AS A SHORTEN STATES AS A SHORTEN STATES AND STATES	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relict will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	1 June 2003.				
	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 6/24/03 is/are: a)□	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreia) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pro-	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)	-				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of In	formal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u>_</u> ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/602,808 Page 2

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adachi et al, US Patent 5,170,081. In figure 2, Adachi discloses a ground isolation circuit comprising ground connections G2L and G2R, power connectors 3L, 4L, 3R, 4R and current blocking device 2 which prevents voltage on the electrical ground from converting to a current flow. Regarding claims 2 and 11, the device is connected in series with the ground connection.

Claims 1-4 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sacca, US Patent 6,427,011. Sacca discloses an apparatus comprising a power line connector 909, a ground connection 911 and diode bridge 901 containing a plurality of diodes as the current blocking device. Claims 1-4 and 10-14 are rejected.

Claims 1-7 and 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Funke, US Patent 5,646,810. Funke discloses an apparatus for reducing electrical noise comprising power line L, ground connection G, and current blocking device 10 comprising avalanche diodes in section 11b.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2615

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 9, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funke in view of Kladar et al, US Patent 6,226,162. Funke does not disclose that the current blocking device comprising a varistor. Kladar et al teach that a varistor or avalanche diode may be used as a clamping device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute the diodes in Funke with a varistor, as taught by Kladar et al, for the purpose of providing an alternate means of clamping voltage on the ground line. Claims 8 and 19 are rejected. Regarding claims 9 and 20, it would have been obvious to include the circuitry in an electrical device for the purpose of protecting the device from electrical noise.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Brian T. Pendleton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2615

32.2

btp